| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|-------------------|--|---|---|
| | man/ | 09/669,847 | OKANO, YOICHI | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | _ | |
| | | Alexander Jamal | 2643 | |
| All Participants: | | Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Alexander Jamal</u> . | | (3) | | |
| (2) <u>Jim Howard (39715)</u> . | | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 8 December 2005 | | Time: <u>12pm</u> | | |
| | ☐ Applica] No | nt's representative) | | |
| Part I. | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Claims discussed: | | | | |
| all independent claims | | | • | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | | | |
| Part II. | | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING T See Continuation Sheet | HE GENER | RAL NATURE OF WHAT V | VAS DISCUSSED: | |
| Part III. | | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the appli of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brid | separate r | e examiner will provide a vecord of the substance of | vritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview | е |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| we har hard | | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) | (Applicant | 'Applicant's Representative | e Signature – if appropriate) | |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Howard sent and email to examiner indicating that the applicant had approved a set of claim amendments. Mr Howard sent an email version of the proposed claim amendments to the examiner. Examiner left a message with Mr. Howard indicating that the fees for a one month extension of time were due in order to enter the examiner's amendment. Mr. Howard approved the payemnt of fees for a one month extension on 12-20-2005 via a phone message..